

Cyclically presented groups and 3-manifolds

Andrei Vesnin

Groups and Quandles in Low-Dimensional Topology Tomsk State University, Tomsk, Russia June 26–27, 2018

- 1. Cyclically presented groups
- 2. Defining words with 3 letters
- 3. Groups of 3-manifolds with cyclic symmetries
- 4. Motegi Teragaito conjecture

Cyclically presented groups

Fibonacci groups

 $F(2,n) = \langle x_1,\ldots,x_n \mid x_i x_{i+1} = x_{i+2}, i = 1,\ldots,n \rangle$

were introduced by Conway.

He asked: whether or not F(2,5) is a cyclic group of order 11?

More general question: whether or not groups F(2, n) are infinite?

Conway [1967], Brunner [1974], Havas [1976], Chalk–Johnson [1976], Newman [1988, Thomas [1989]:

F(2, n) is finite if and only if n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7.

More precisely, $F(2,3) = Q_8$, $F(2,4) = \mathbb{Z}_5$, $F(2,5) = \mathbb{Z}_{11}$, $F(2,7) = \mathbb{Z}_{29}$.

 $^{^1\}mathrm{J}.$ Conway, Advanced problem 5327. Amer. Math. Monthly 72 (1965), 915.

A finite presentation $\langle X|R\rangle$ of a group G is called balanced if number of defining relations |R| is equal to number of generators |X|.

Balanced presentations of groups appears very naturally in topology of 3-manifolds. In particular, Heegaard splitting of 3-manifolds induces balanced presentations of their fundamental groups.

A group presentation called geometric if it corresponds a Heegaard splitting of a manifold.

Example. The balanced presentation

 $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5 \mid (a_{i-1}^{-1}a_i)^2 a_i (a_i^{-1}a_{i+1})^{-2} = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 \rangle$

corresponds to the Heegaard splitting of a 3-manifold.

Example of balanced presentation



Heegaard diagram for related to the above balanched presentation. The red curve is given by gluing:

$$\overline{3}_{1} \longrightarrow \overline{2}_{2} \xrightarrow{a_{2}^{-1}} 2_{2} \longrightarrow 3_{8} \xrightarrow{a_{3}} \overline{3}_{8} \longrightarrow \overline{2}_{4} \xrightarrow{a_{2}^{-1}} 2_{4} \longrightarrow 3_{6} \xrightarrow{a_{3}} \overline{3}_{6} \longrightarrow 3_{5}$$
$$\xrightarrow{a_{3}} \overline{3}_{5} \longrightarrow \overline{4}_{7} \xrightarrow{a_{4}^{-1}} 4_{7} \longrightarrow 3_{3} \xrightarrow{a_{3}} \overline{3}_{3} \longrightarrow \overline{4}_{9} \xrightarrow{a_{4}^{-1}} 4_{9} \longrightarrow 3_{1} \xrightarrow{a_{3}} \overline{3}_{1}.$$

Let \mathbb{F}_n be a free group of rank $n \ge 1$ with generators x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n and let $w = w(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ be a cyclically reduced word in \mathbb{F}_n .

Let $\theta : \mathbb{F}_n \to \mathbb{F}_n$ be an automorphism given by $\theta(x_i) = x_{i+1}$, where i = 1, ..., n-1, and $\theta(x_n) = x_1$. The presentation

$$\mathcal{G}_n(w) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid w = 1, \theta(w) = 1, \ldots, \theta^{n-1}(w) = 1 \rangle$$

is called an n-cyclic presentation with defining word w.

A group G is said to be cyclically presented if G is isomorphic to $G_n(w)$ for some n and w.

A group theory point of views:

Problem. When group $\mathcal{G}_n(w)$ is finite?

A low-dimensional topology point of views:

Problem. When group $G_n(w)$ is fundamental group of a 3-manifold?

Fibonacci groups and Sieradski groups

Example. The word $w(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x_2 x_3^{-1}$ leads to Fibonacci groups:

$$F(2,n) = \langle x_1,\ldots,x_n \mid x_i x_{i+1} = x_{i+2}, i = 1,\ldots,n \rangle,$$

where subscripts are taken by mod n.

Example. The word $w(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x_3 x_2^{-1}$ leads to Sieradski groups:

$$S(n) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid x_i x_{i+2} = x_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \rangle,$$

where subscripts are taken by mod n

<u>Remark.</u> These groups are interesting from a topological point of views.

Fibonacci manifolds

Helling–Kim–Mennicke: Fibonacci groups F(2, 2n), $n \ge 2$, arise as fundamental groups of closed orientable 3-manifolds M_n , called Fibonacci manifolds. For $n \ge 4$ manifolds M_n are hyperbolic.

Hilden–Lozano–Montesinos: Fibonacci manifolds M_n , $n \ge 2$, are *n*-fold cyclic coverings of the 3-sphere, branched over the figure-eight knot.



²H. Helling, A.C. Kim, J.L. Mennicke, A geometric study of Fibonacci groups. J. of Lie Theory 8 (1999), 1-23.
³H.M. Hilden, M.T. Lozano, J.M. Montesinos-Amilibia, The arithmeticity of the figure eight knot orbifolds. Topology'90, Columbus, 1990. Berlin: de Gruyter, 1992. 169-183.

Fibonacci groups with odd number of generators, I

Prop. [Johnson] If m is odd, then F(2, m) has a torsion. <u>Proof.</u> Indeed, consider

$$F(2,m) = \langle x_1,\ldots,x_m \mid x_ix_{i+1} = x_{i+2}, i = 1,\ldots,m \rangle.$$

Taking $u = x_1 x_2 \dots x_m$ we get

$$u^{2} = (x_{1}x_{2})(x_{3}x_{4})\cdots(x_{m}x_{1})(x_{2}x_{3})\cdots(x_{m-1}x_{m})$$

= x_{3} x_{5} \cdots x_{m} x_{2} x_{4} \cdots x_{1}
= $(x_{2}^{-1}x_{4})(x_{4}^{-1}x_{6})\cdots(x_{m-1}^{-1}x_{1})(x_{1}^{-1}x_{3})(x_{3}^{-1}x_{5})\cdots(x_{m}^{-1}x_{2}) = 1.$

Now, let us verify that $u \neq 1$.

⁴D.L. Johnson, Topics in the theory of group presentations. London Math. Soc. Lect. Note Ser. 42, 1980.

Fibonacci groups with odd number of generators, II

The equality u = 1 will imply that all generators x_1, \ldots, x_m commute.

Indeed, if $u = x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 \cdots x_m = 1$, then

$$\begin{array}{rclrcrcrcrcrc} x_3^2 \, x_4 \, x_5 \, x_6 \, \cdots \, x_m & = & 1, \\ & x_3 \, x_5^2 \, x_6 \, \cdots \, x_m & = & 1, \\ & x_3 \, x_5 \, x_7^2 \, \cdots \, x_m & = & 1, \\ & x_3 \, x_5 \, x_7 \, \cdots \, x_m^2 & = & 1, \\ & (x_2^{-1} x_4) (x_4^{-1} x_6) (x_6^{-1} x_8) \cdots (x_{m-1}^{-1} x_1) x_m & = & 1, \\ & & x_2^{-1} \, x_1 \, x_m & = & 1. \end{array}$$

Comparing with $x_m x_1 = x_2$ we get that x_1 and x_m commute.

Analogously, x_i and x_{i+1} commute, and next, x_i and x_j commute.

But it is known that for odd $m \ge 9$ the group F(2, m) is infinite and its abelianizer is finite. Hence, $u \ne 1$ and u is of order 2.

Sieradski groups

Cavicchioli–Hegenbarth–Kim: Sieradski group S(n) is fundamental group of the *n*-fold cyclic covering of S^3 , branched over the trefoil knot.



Remark. Generalizations of Sieradski groups

$$S(k,n) = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \mid x_i x_{i+2} \ldots x_{i+2k-2} = x_{i+1} x_{i+3} \ldots x_{i+2k-3} \rangle$$

are fundamental groups of *n*-fold cyclic covering of the 3-sphere, branched over the torus knots t(2, k), where trefoil is t(2, 3).

⁵A. Cavicchioli, F. Hegenbarth, A. Kim, A geometric study of Sieradski groups. Algebra Colloquium 5 (1998), 203-217.

An example of geometric cyclic presentation

Kozlovskaya – Vesnin: The following cyclic presentation is geometric:

$$\mathcal{G}_n(x_1x_2x_3x_3x_4x_5x_4^{-1}x_3^{-1}x_2x_3x_4x_3^{-1}x_2^{-1}).$$



Heegaard diagram for the case n = 4.

The manifold is an *n*-fold cyclic branched cover of the lens space L(5,1). ⁶T. Kozlovskaya, A. Vesnin, Brieskorn manifolds, generalized Sieradski groups, and coverings of lens spaces, Proc. of IMM UrO RAN, 23 (2017), 85-97.

Defining words with 3 letters

Defining word with 3 letters

Cavicchioli - Hegenbarth - Repovs: are groups

$$G_n(m,k) = \langle x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \mid x_i x_{i+m} = x_{i+k}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \rangle.$$

fundamental groups of 3-manifolds?

If (m, k) = (1, 2) then we get Fibonacci groups

If (m, k) = (2, 1) then we get Sieradski groups.

Bardakov – Vesnin: If n is odd, k - m is even, (m - 2k, n) = 1 then $G_n(m, k)$ cannot be fundamental group of a hyperbolic 3-manifold.

⁷A. Cavicchioli, F. Hegenbarth, D. Repovs, On manifold spines and cyclic presentations of groups. Knot Theory, Banach Center Publications, 42 (1998), 49-56.
 ⁸V. Bardakov, A. Vesnin, On a generalisation of Fibonacci groups. Algebra and Logic, 42 (2003), 73-91.

Howie – Williams: Among $G_n(m, k)$ with the exception of two groups, only finite cyclic groups, Sieradski groups, and even-generated Fibonacci groups are fundamental groups of 3-manifolds.

Open Problem. Are groups

 $G_9(4,1) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9 \mid x_i x_{i+4} = x_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, 9 \rangle$

and

 $G_9(7,1) = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_9 \mid x_i x_{i+7} = x_{i+1}, i = 1, 2, \dots, 9 \rangle$

fundamental groups of 3-manifolds?

⁹E. Howie, G. Williams, Fibonacci type presentations and 3-manifolds. Topology Appl. 215 (2017), 24-34.

Mohamed – Williams: Further study of groups $G_n(m, k)$. The orders of the abelianizations are calculated. Small cancelation properties and isomorphism classes of the groups with $n \leq 29$ and some infinite series are studded.

¹⁰E. Mohamed, G. Williams, An investigation into the cyclically presented groups with length three positive relations. arXiv:1806.06821, 18 June 2018.

Groups of 3-manifolds with cyclic symmetries

Assume that M is a closed orientable 3-manifolds which admit cyclic symmetries.

Birman and Hilden introduced a notion of n-symmetric Heegaard genus of M related to the symmetry of order n.

The *n*-symmetric Heegaard genus $g_n(M)$ of M is the smallest integer g such that M admits a *n*-symmetric Heegaard splitting of genus g.

Th. 1. [Birman – Hilden] Every closed orientable 3-manifold M of n-symmetric Heegaard genus $g_n(M)$ admits a representation as a n-fold cyclic covering of S^3 branched over a link L of bridge number

$$\operatorname{br}(L) \leq 1 + \frac{g_n(M)}{n-1}.$$

 $^{11} \rm J.S.$ Birman, H.M. Hilden, Heegaard splittings fo branched coverings of $S^3.$ Trans. AMS 213 (1975), 315-352.

3-manifolds with cyclic symmetry, II

Th. 2. [Birman – Hilden] The *n*-fold cyclic covering of S^3 branched over a knot of braid number *b* is a closed orientable 3-manifold *M* of *n*-symmetric Heegaard genus

$$g_n(M) \leq (b-1)(n-1).$$

Th. 3. [Mulazzani] A *n*-fold cyclic covering of S^3 branched over a knot K of bridge number br(K) is a closed, orientable 3-manifold M of *n*-symmetric Heegaard genus

$$g_n(M) \leq (\operatorname{br}(K) - 1)(n - 1).$$

<u>Remark.</u> We are interested in the case br(K) = 2. Then $g_n(M) \le n - 1$.

 $^{^{12}\}mathrm{M}.$ Mulazzani, On p-symmetric Heegaard splittings. JKTR 9 (2000), 1059–1067.

For any *n* the *n*-symmetric Heegaard genus gives an upper bound for usual Heegaard genus of a 3-manifold M:

 $g(M) \leq g_n(M).$

Denote by rk(G) the rank of a group G, i.e., the minimal number of its generators,

$$\mathsf{rk}(G) = \min\{|X| : \langle X \rangle = G\},\$$

where |X| is the cardinality of X.

If G is fundamental group of closed orientable 3-manifold M, then

 $\mathsf{rk}(G) \leq g(M).$

Two-bridge knots and links

The Conway normal form of a two-bridge link $\mathbf{b}(p/q)$.



Here a_i denotes a number of half-twists.

Denote by $M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n)$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, an *n*-fold cyclic covering of S^3 branched over two-bridge knot $\mathbf{b}(p/q)$, where $p/q = 2k + \frac{1}{2\ell}$ and $p/q = 2k - \frac{1}{2\ell}$.

Th. 4. [Kim – Vesnin] The fundamental group $\pi_1(M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n))$ has the following cyclic presentation

$$\pi_1(M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n)) = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n | (a_{i-1}^{-\ell}a_i^{\ell})^k a_i^{\varepsilon} (a_i^{-\ell}a_{i+1}^{\ell})^{-k} = 1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \rangle.$$

This presentation is geometric, i.e. it arises from the Heegaard splitting of the manifold. See picture for $\pi_1(M_{2,1}^1(5))$ on the next page.

¹³A.C. Kim, A. Vesnin, Cyclically presented groups and Takahashi manifolds. RIMS Kokyuroku (Kyoto, Japan), 1022 (1998), 200-212.

Example of balanced presentation, I



Heegaard diagram, corresponding to $\pi_1(M_{2,1}^1(5))$.

Example of balanced presentation, II



Heegaard diagram, corresponding to $\pi_1(M_{3,1}^1(5))$.

Example of balanced presentation, III



Heegaard diagram, corresponding to $\pi_1(M_{2,2}^1(5))$.

The upper bound for genus

Th. 5. [Lei – Vesnin] Let
$$k, \ell \ge 1, \varepsilon = \pm 1$$
. Then for $n \ge 3$
 $g(M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n)) \le n - \left[\frac{n}{3}\right].$

Method of the proof: destabilizaton moves for Heegaard diagrams.

Cor. The rank of the group $\pi_1(M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n))$ is bounded as

$$\operatorname{rk}(\pi_1(M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n))) \leq n - \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor.$$

Open Problem. Find $rk(\pi_1(M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n)))$.

<u>Remark.</u> In almost all cases these manifolds are hyperbolic, hence $rk \ge 2$.

¹⁴F. Lei, A. Vesnin, Work in progress.

Let k = 1, $\ell = 1$, $\varepsilon = 1$. Then we get $\mathbf{b}(5/2)$ that is the figure-eight knot. Then $\pi_1(M_{1,1}^1(n))$ is the Fibonacci group F(2, 2n) and it is two-generated.

Let k = 2, $\ell = 1$, $\varepsilon = -1$. Then we get $\mathbf{b}(7/2)$ that is the knot 5₂. Th. 6. [Newman] The rank $rk(\pi_1(M_{2,1}^{-1}(n)))$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$\frac{\log 24}{\log 60} \left[\frac{n-6}{4} \right] \le \mathsf{rk}(\pi_1(M_{2,1}^{-1}(n))) \le \frac{n+1}{2}.$$

¹⁵M.F. Newman, On a family of cyclically presented fundamental groups, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 71 (2001), 235-241.

Motegi – Teragaito conjecture

A group G is said to be bi-orderable if G admits a strict total ordering < which is invariant under the multiplication from left and right sides. That is, if g < h, then agb < ahb for any $g, h, a, b \in G$. The trivial group $\{1\}$ is considered to be bi-orderable.

Let $g \in G$ be a non-trivial element. g is called a generalized torsion element if some non-empty finite product of conjugates of g equals to the identity.

Conjecture. [Motegi – Teragaito] Let G be the fundamental group of a 3-manifold. Then G is bi-orderable if and only if G has no generalized torsion element.

¹⁶K. Motegi, M. Teragaito, Generalized torsion elements and bi-oderability of 3-manifold groups. Canad. Mth. Bull. 60 (2017), 830-844.

Fact. If *G* is bi-orderable, then *G* has no generalized torsion elements. <u>Proof.</u> Let < be bi-ordering of *G*. Suppose that *G* contains a generalized torsion element *g*. Therefore, there exist $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in G$ such that

$$g^{a_1}g^{a_2}\cdots g^{a_n}=1,$$

where $g^a = a^{-1}ga$. Since $g \neq 1$, we have g > 1 or g < 1. If g > 1, then $g^{a_i} > 1$ for any *i* by bi-orderability. So, the product of these conjugates is still bigger than 1, a contradiction. \Box

Some known results, II

Lemma. [Motegi – Teragaito] Let K be the Klein bottle. Then $\pi_1(K)$ contains a generalized torsion element.

Proof. It is well-known that

$$\pi_1(K) = \langle x, y | y^{-1}xy = x^{-1} \rangle.$$

Since $xx^y = 1$ from the relation and $x \neq 1$, x is a generalized torsion element. \Box

Th. 7. [Motegi – Teragaito] The fundamental group of any closed, geometric 3-manifold that is non-hyperbolic, satisfies Conjecture.

Th. 8. [Motegi – Teragaito] The fundamental group of the *n*-fold cyclic cover of S^3 branched over the figure-eight knot satisfies Conjecture.

<u>Remark.</u> That is the Fibonacci group F(2, 2n).

Prop. [Motegi – Teragaito] In the Fibonacci group F(2, m), $m \ge 2$, each generator x_i is a generalized torsion element.

Method of the proof: combinatorial group theory.

<u>Remark.</u> This is the case $k = \ell = \varepsilon = 1$.

Problem. Which groups

 $\pi_1(M_{k,\ell}^{\varepsilon}(n)) = \langle a_1, \ldots, a_n | (a_{i-1}^{-\ell}a_i^{\ell})^k a_i^{\varepsilon} (a_i^{-\ell}a_{i+1}^{\ell})^{-k} = 1, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \rangle$ are bi-orderable? Tran: Group $\pi_1(M_{2k,2\ell}(n))$ is left-oderable if

$$n > \pi / \cos^{-1} \sqrt{1 - (4k\ell)^{-1}}.$$

Dabkowski, Przytycki, Togha: Group $\pi_1(M_{2k,2\ell}^{-1}(n))$ for positive integers k and ℓ is not left-oderable for any integer n > 1.

¹⁷A. Tran, On left-oderability and cyclic branched coverings. J. Math.
 Soc. Japan 67 (2015), 1169-1178.
 ¹⁸M. Dabkowski, J. Przytycki and A. Togha, Non-left-orderable 3-manifold groups. Canad. Math. Bull. 48 (2005), 32-40.

Thank you!